

COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER



ORIGINAL

00P1.15

BRIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2001 MAR 14 P 2: 31

DATE:

March 14, 2001

Arizona Corporation Commission COMMISSION DOCKETE CORP COMMISSION

DOCKET NO:

T-03958A-00-0914

MAR 1 4 2001

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY MW

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Alicia Grantham. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

TELECENTS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by <u>4:00</u> p.m. on or before:

MARCH 23, 2001

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

MARCH 27, 2001 and MARCH 28, 2001

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

BRIAN C. MCNEIL

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL **CHAIRMAN** 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER 5 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-03958A-00-0914 6 TELECENTS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND 7 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE DECISION NO. RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES ORDER Open Meeting 10 March 27 and 28, 2001 Phoenix, Arizona 11 BY THE COMMISSION: 12 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 13 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 14 FINDINGS OF FACT 15 1. On November 9, 2000, TeleCents Communications, Inc. ("TeleCents" or "Applicant") 16 filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity 17 ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local 18 exchange services, within the State of Arizona. 19 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 20 telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the 21 jurisdiction of the Commission. 22 3. Applicant is a Michigan corporation authorized to do business in Arizona since 2000. 23 Applicant is a switchless reseller, which purchases telecommunications services from 24 various telecommunications service providers. 25 5. On December 27, 2000, Applicant filed Affidavits of Publication indicating 26 compliance with the Commission's notice requirements. 27

On January 24, 2001, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff

28

1 Report in this matter.

- 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that the Applicant provided its unaudited financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2000, which listed assets of \$265,000, shareholders' equity of \$135,665, and a net income of \$143,200. Based on the foregoing, Staff believes that Applicant lacks adequate financial resources to be allowed to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits without establishing an escrow account or posting a surety bond. The Applicant has docketed proof of the establishment of a surety bond in the amount of \$5,000, which shall be in effect for a minimum of one year. The surety bond approximates the total amount of any prepayments, advances, and deposits that the Applicant collects from its customers.
- 8. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
 - 9. In its Report, Staff recommended the following:
 - (a) Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services;
 - (b) Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
 - (c) Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
 - (d) Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
 - (e) Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
 - (f) Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints;
 - (g) Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission;
 - (h) Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;

- (i) Applicant should file a \$5,000 surety bond to cover the approximate total amount of any prepayments, advances, and deposits that the Applicant will collect from its customers;
- (j) After one year of operation under the Certificate granted by the Commission, the Applicant should be allowed to file a request for cancellation of its established surety bond, and that such request be accompanied by information demonstrating the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff shall forward its recommendation to the Commission for a Decision;
- (k) Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (l) The rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recently filed tariffs should be approved on an interim basis. The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and
- (m) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.
- 10. Staff recommended approval of the application subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) That Applicant should be ordered to file conforming tariffs within 30 days of an Order in this matter, and in accordance with the Decision;
 - (b) That Applicant should be required to file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval This information must include, at a minimum, the following:
 - 1. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that the Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
 - 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification.
 - 3. The value of all assets, listed by major category, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers

by the Applicant following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.

- (c) Applicant's failure to meet the condition to file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs shall result in the expiration of the certificate of the tariffs.
- 11. On August 29, 2000, the Court of Appeals, Division One ("Court") issued its Opinion in <u>US WEST Communications, Inc. v. Arizona Corporation Commission</u>, 1 CA-CV 98-0672, holding that "the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to determine fair value rate base ("FVRB") for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 12. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Arizona Supreme Court. On February 13, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted. However, at this time, we are going to request FVRB information to insure compliance with the Constitution should the ultimate decision of the Supreme Court affirm the Court's interpretation of Section 14. We are also concerned that the cost and complexity of FVRB determinations must not offend the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 13. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be held.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. Applicant's provision of resold intrastate telecommunications services is in the public interest.
- 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive intrastate telecommunications as a reseller in Arizona.
 - 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 9 and 10 are reasonable and should

DECISION NO.

be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application for TeleCents Communications, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, shall be and the same is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that TeleCents Communications, Inc. shall comply with the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 9 and 10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED TeleCents Communications, Inc. shall file the following FVRB information within 18 months of the date that it first provides service. The FVRB shall include a dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by TeleCents Communications, Inc. following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that TeleCents Communications, Inc. requests in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit TeleCents Communications, Inc. shall also file FVRB information detailing the total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by TeleCents Communications, Inc. following certification. TeleCents Communications, Inc. shall also file FVRB information which includes a description and value of all assets, including plant, equipment, and office supplies, to be used to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers for the first twelve months following TeleCents Communications, Inc.'s certification.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision		
2	TeleCents Communications, Inc. shall notify the Compliance Section of the Arizona Corporation		
3	Commission of the date that it will begin or has begun providing service to Arizona customers.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
6			
7			
8	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER
9			
10		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIA	AN C. McNEIL, Executive
11		Secretary of the Arizona Corpo hereunto set my hand and caused	ration Commission, have d the official seal of the
12		Commission to be affixed at the Cap this day of, 2001.	pitol, in the City of Phoenix,
13		고 11일을 다고 그는 이 말라고 있다. 그는 것이 말했다. 같은 사용 사용 보고 있는 것이 되었다.	
14		BRIAN C. McNEIL	
15		EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	
16	DISSENT	(1986 - 1981 - 1982 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 198 	사용하다 사용하다 보고 있다. 사용하다 기업을 통해 기업을 받았다.
17	AG:mlj		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: TEI	ECENTS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	
2			
3	DOCKET NO.: T-0	3958A-00-0914	
4	Jerney 1 . Lauzon		
5	TELECENTS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 8615 Richardson Road, Suite 200		
6	多事 가능한 사용하게 되었다. 그는 그는 전 사용이 많아 하는 사용 등에서 되는 것이 되어 되었다.		
7	Lance J.M. Steinhart 6455 East Johns Crossing, Suite 285 Duluth, Georgia 30097 Attorney for Applicant		
8			
9	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel		
10	THUSOMIT COM ORATION COMMISSION		
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	사용을 하시다. 그는 생각을 하시다. 그런 후보이 하는 하는 것이 되었다. 	
12	Debotair Deott, Director	가 하다 하는 말이 있다. 그런 이 사람이 모르는 것이 되고 하고 있다. 생물 목표하는 모든 이 경기를 보는 사람들은 것 같은 것이다.	
13	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
14	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19		하는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	學學 그 살아보고 하는 하면 되었다. 이번 생각이 그 사람들은 사람들이 살아 있다면 하는데 하다 하다. 그리는 사람들이 살아		
27			
28			
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	· KIII 가는 가는 사람들이 되는 것이 없어 그리겠어요? [12] 그 그는 그리고 되는 것으로 하는 것으로 하는 것을 하셨다.	그는 그 그리고 있는 그 그는 일을 받는 그리고 있는 것이 되었다. 그리고 있는 사람들은 그리는 그리고 있다면 함께 없는 사람들이 얼마나 되었다.	

7

DECISION NO.